

# San Antonio Honors Research Symposium

## Presentation Evaluation Form

**STUDENT NAME:**

**EVALUATOR:**

**SESSION:**

**TITLE:**

**POSTER #/ROOM LOCATION:**

Directions: Please rate the quality of the presentation based on the rubric below. Judges are allowed to assign points on 0.5-point increments.		
Elements of Presentation	Rubric	Points
<b>Background and Hypothesis/Objectives (Score: 1-5)</b>	1: Background and hypothesis/objective not stated. 2: Background unclear, hypothesis/objective not relevant. 3: Background incomplete, hypothesis/objective somewhat clear. 4: Clear background and relevant hypothesis/objective, some extra information. 5: Comprehensive background, clearly linked to hypothesis/objective.	
<b>Methods or Theoretical Analysis (Score 1-5)</b>	1: Methods or Theoretical Analysis not stated. 2: Methods or Theoretical Analysis unclear or irrelevant. 3: Methods or Theoretical Analysis somewhat clear, insufficient details. 4: Clear methods, or Theoretical Analysis relevant details, some minor gaps. 5: Comprehensive, detailed methods or Theoretical Analysis clearly linked to the hypothesis/objective.	
<b>Results or Expected Outcomes (Score: 1-5)</b>  <b>Note:</b> Some presentations will feature complete studies with results, while others will present early-stage research with preliminary findings. In these cases, judges should focus on expected outcomes rather than finalized results.	1: No results or expected outcomes provided. 2: Results lack data, difficult to comprehend. Expected outcomes lack rationale. 3: Sufficient data, but difficult to interpret. Expected outcomes is sufficient but lack depth. 4: Clear, comprehensive data addressing hypothesis/objective. Expected outcomes are comprehensive and address hypothesis/objective. 5: High-quality, clear, logical data with comprehensive findings. Expected outcomes are high-quality, comprehensive, and demonstrate clear rationale.	
<b>Conclusions or and Future Work (Score: 1-5)</b>	1: Missing conclusions, and future work. 2: Weak conclusions lack rationale, future work unclear. 3: Reasonable conclusions with some connection to results/ expected outcomes. 4: Strong conclusions, logical future work based on results/expected outcomes. 5: Well-supported conclusions, clear future work with next steps.	
<b>Overall Presentation and Handling Questions (Score: 1-5)</b>	1: Lacks understanding, reads directly from slides/poster, poor responses. 2: Weak understanding, unclear presentation, struggles with questions. 3: Partial understanding, some unclear sections, inconsistent delivery. 4: Good understanding, clear communication, capable responses. 5: Strong understanding, natural and enthusiastic delivery, excellent responses.	
<b>Quality of Poster/Oral Presentation (Score: 1-5)</b>	1: Poor layout, hard-to-read text, confusing visuals. 2: Untidy layout, distracting elements, poor labeling. 3: Some clear elements, minor errors, inconsistent visuals. 4: Clear layout, minor issues, good visual aids. 5: Well-organized, visually appealing, easy to follow, effective visuals.	
<b>Additional Comments:</b>		<b>TOTAL:</b> /30