

## Poster Judging

 Judge #: \_\_\_\_\_
 Poster #: \_\_\_\_\_
 Division: Grad UG

	Excellent (30-40)	Good (15-30)	Developing (0-15)	Total Points
Oral Presentation (40 possible points) Quality of	Engaging & concise Approachable Professional Strong answers to questions Adds significant value to poster Fully prepared Scholarly significance is clear	Some difficulty with delivery Some answers unclear Adds some value to poster Adequately prepared Rationale, methods, analysis, and/or	Needs work on presentation skills Doesn't answer questions well Adds little value to poster Unprepared Significant elements (rationale, methods,	/40
Research (30 possible points)	Robust research design Rigorous methodology Compelling analysis of evidence/data Sound results & conclusions Wide variety of scholarly sources Original contribution	analysis, and/or results are sound but not fully clear Somewhat significant Adequate research design Some variety of sources Somewhat original	(rationale, methods, analysis, results) missing or incomplete Lacks clear significance Poor research design Little connection to scholarly sources Ideas poorly developed	/30
Poster Design (30 possible points)	Visually compelling Conveys information very effectively Appropriate use of visual aids (photos, charts, graphs) Accessible / readable	Visually clear but not compelling Conveys information adequately Mostly appropriate use of visual aids Generally readable with some errors	Layout not well matched to content Conveys information ineffectively Few or no visual aids Difficult to read Many proofreading errors	/30

Total Points (100 possible points): \_\_\_\_\_ / 100

**Strengths & Areas for Improvement:**